# Equitable-access flood modeling for timely & just adaptation in the near and long term

## Katharine (Katie) J. Mach

Professor of Environmental Science & Policy, University of Miami Rosenstiel School & Abess Center

## **Brett F. Sanders**

Professor of Civil & Environmental Engineering & Urban Planning & Public Policy, University of California, Irvine

FloodRISE Miami

Research Team: J.E. Schubert, J. Niemann, K.K. Larson Mohr, D. Amini Baghbadorani, & E. Hamill













## Motivation

- Resident & stakeholder participation is crucial to effective, equitable flood adaptation, yet extremely time intensive & challenging
- Most simulation software is too slow to support wide exploration of risks and coordination of responses
- New technology (e.g., PRIMo, SFINCS) enabling rapid fine-scale urban flood modeling may be transformational for equitable risk exploration & adaptation









FloodRISE Miami

## Hypothesis

Shifting control over flood modeling will change the outcomes of adaptation

a) Power-Centric Paradigm

b) Equitable-Access Paradigm



FloodRISE Miami

Theory of Change		Task 1	Task 2	Task 3		
		overcoming barriers to participation/representation	fast-response, interactive flood simulation	testing equitable-access paradigm		
Activities		<ul> <li>baseline survey of MDC residents: flood awareness; preferences about responses and responsibility for them; perceptions of barriers/conflicts, engagement, and scenarios for flood adaptation</li> <li>in-person collaborative flood modeling (CFM) by group, repeating survey and comparing to baseline</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PRIMo-Infrastructure development</li> <li>CitizenLab simulation/engagement platform set up and pilot</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>collaborative site selection</li> <li>testing synchronous in-person CFM (targeted engagement)</li> <li>testing asynchronous remote CFM (widespread engagement)</li> </ul>		
Assumptions		<ul> <li>residents and community stakeholders want to be engaged in flood adaptation</li> <li>residents and community stakeholders desire capacity to explore potential flood adaptation solutions (i.e., propose ideas, see them tested)</li> <li>fine-scale, rapid/interactive flood simulation is a technological gap (in representing local infrastructure and enabling interactivity) that limits flood adaptation</li> <li>comparing baseline resident survey with CFM-participant survey is robust/meaningful</li> </ul>				
Outputs		<ul> <li>baseline survey results</li> <li>comparison with in-person CFM by group</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PRIMo updated (flood drivers, structures) and validated for MDC context, including analysis of flood risk changes under different interventions</li> <li>CitizenLab platform functioning and refined for CFM</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>site selected</li> <li>synchronous in-person CFM (targeted engagement) evaluated, compared to baseline survey</li> <li>asynchronous remote CFM (widespread engagement) evaluated, compared to baseline survey</li> </ul>		
Outcomes	Near-term (within 1-3 years)	<ul> <li>resident and professional perspectives about flood adaptation priorities, processes, and outcomes better understood—in their alignments/disagreements, including what motivates participation or not</li> <li>potential for CFM versus existing local flood adaptation efforts preliminarily assessed (for improving shared</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>understanding of user experiences with responsive, interactive flood simulation platform (i.e., user knowledge of flood hazards/risks/responses, consistency of user judgments informed by preferences, soundness of user inferences, platform limitations)</li> <li>interactive flood simulation platform available for CFM at neighborhood to regional scale</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>improved understanding of different forms of CFM (i.e., how in-person v. remote CFM reveals, changes, and balances preferences for flood adaptation across public/private sector and civil society)</li> </ul>		
		awareness and snaping response preferences)		<ul> <li>time- and resource-efficient identification of flood adaptation resource antipas and pathways</li> </ul>		
	Medium-term (within 3-5 years)	<ul> <li>support for implementation of tasks 2 and 3</li> <li>increased understanding of barriers to participation/representation in MDC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>support for implementation of task 3</li> <li>improved user experiences with flood simulation in task 3</li> <li>increased awareness of the potential for fast-response, interactive flood simulation for adaptation planning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>flood adaptation response options and pathways</li> <li>flood adaptation responses better reflecting priorities and preferences esp. for underserved stakeholder groups</li> <li>improved participant experiences in flood adaptation planning (e.g., inclusiveness, fairness, and transparency)</li> <li>increased risk reduction and co-benefits and reduced side effects for flood adaptation responses</li> </ul>		
Aims/Impacts		<ul> <li>regional flood adaptation planning processes become more time-eff</li> <li>participation in flood adaptation planning increases and is sustained</li> <li>regional flood adaptation plans are effective in risk reduction, with plans are effective in risk reduction.</li> </ul>	ficient and empower underserved residents and professionals I more benefits for other priorities and fewer side-effects			

participation in flood adaptation planning increases and is sustained
 regional flood adaptation plans are effective in risk reduction, with more benefits for other priorities and fewer side-effects

• equitable-access paradigm piloted at MDC community scale replicated elsewhere (nationally, internationally)

Theory of Change			
meory of change	overcoming barriers to participation/representation	fast-response, interactive flood simulation	testing equitable-access paradigm
	<ul> <li>baseline survey of MDC residents: flood awareness; preferences about responses and responsibility for them; perceptions of barriers/conflicts, engagement, and scenarios for flood adaptation</li> <li>in-person collaborative flood modeling (CFM) by group, repeating survey and comparing to baseline</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PRIMo-Infrastructure development</li> <li>CitizenLab simulation/engagement platform set up and pilot</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>collaborative site selection</li> <li>testing synchronous in-person CFM (targeted engagement)</li> <li>testing asynchronous remote CFM (widespread engagement)</li> </ul>

#### Long-term aims:

- o more time-efficient flood adaptation planning, empowering underserved residents & professionals
- o increased participation in flood adaptation planning
- o flood adaptation effective in risk reduction, with more benefits for other priorities
- o transferable equitable-access paradigm

### Evaluating experiences of all participants to support course corrections



## Identification of project focus area with partners



## PRIMo model simulation & validation

*here:* 24 hr spatially uniform rainfall depth of 378 mm (14.8 inches) across C2-C6 region. Coastal boundary condition is MHHW.

#### 24 hr simulation at 1.5 m resolution in 20 minutes

validation underway: comparisons to gage data and other models; refinement of model structure (e.g., the inclusion of canal gates, pumps, & exfiltration trenches)







## PRIMo model simulation & validation

*here:* 24 hr spatially uniform rainfall depth of 378 mm (14.8 inches) across C2-C6 region. Coastal boundary condition is MHHW.

#### 24 hr simulation at 1.5 m resolution in 20 minutes

validation underway: comparisons to gage data and other models; refinement of model structure (e.g., the inclusion of canal gates, pumps, & exfiltration trenches)



## Preliminary PRIMo model simulations

### what if?:

more injection wells & exfiltration trenches result in *10% less runoff* 

future work will consider routing of infiltration & exfiltration flows to canals using PRIMo



## Preliminary PRIMo model simulations

### what if?:

higher future groundwater levels result in **10% more runoff** 

future work will consider resolving the effect of a changing groundwater table on PRIMo simulated runoff



## **Estimating flood risks with PRIMo** & testing solutions

- Exposed population (with equity measures)
- Exposed properties (with & without equity weighting)
- Exposed critical assets
- Exposed roadways
- Municipal exposures  $\cap$
- Exploring use of Delft-FIAT

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

Proportion of flood exposed municipal population

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

Sanders et al. (2022) Nature Sustainability, Seeteram et al. (2023) ERL & CRM

## Interactive platform for collaborative flood modeling

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Establishing a **baseline** for testing effects of collaborative flood modeling

Miami-Dade County flood risk/response representative survey (via Ipsos)

### **Question areas:**

Flood risk perceptions

likelihood and <u>consequences</u> across flood drivers and spatial scales <u>changes</u> through time

#### • Flood preparedness

household and community perceptions and actions level of <u>engagement/participation</u> perceptions of <u>responsibilities</u> (public, private, civil society) perceptions of effectiveness and trust of government <u>preferred responses</u>

#### Information sources

# Putting these pieces together to test collaborative flood modeling

 Piloting collaborative flood modeling & comparing outcomes to baseline
 Testing collaborative flood modeling at scale (*in-person* and *digital* engagement)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- shorten adaptation planning <u>timelines</u>?
- improve resident and stakeholder <u>experiences</u>?
- enhance project <u>outcomes</u> in near & long term?

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

PRIMo-Infrastructure (CPUs) →